

GENERIC TWISTED T -ADIC EXPONENTIAL SUMS OF POLYNOMIALS

CHUNLEI LIU AND CHUANZE NIU

ABSTRACT. The twisted T -adic exponential sum associated to a polynomial in one variable is studied. An explicit arithmetic polygon is proved to be the generic Newton polygon of the C -function of the twisted T -adic exponential sum. It gives the generic Newton polygon of the L -functions of twisted p -power order exponential sums.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let W be a Witt ring scheme of Witt vectors, $\mathbb{F}_q$ the field of characteristic p with q elements, $\mathbb{Z}_q = W(\mathbb{F}_q)$, and $\mathbb{Q}_q = \mathbb{Z}_q[\frac{1}{p}]$.

Let $\Delta \supsetneq \{0\}$ be an integral convex polytope in $\mathbb{R}^n$, and I the set of vertices of Δ different from the origin. Let

$$f(x) = \sum_{v \in \Delta} (a_v x^v, 0, 0, \dots) \in W(\mathbb{F}_q[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}]) \text{ with } \prod_{v \in I} a_v \neq 0,$$

where $x^v = x_1^{v_1} \cdots x_n^{v_n}$ if $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$.

Let T be a variable. Let μ_{q-1} be the group of $q-1$ -th roots of unity in $\mathbb{Z}_q$ and $\chi = \omega^{-u}$ with $u \in \mathbb{Z}^n/(q-1)$ a fixed multiplicative character of $(\mathbb{F}_q^\times)^n$ into μ_{q-1} , where $\omega : x \rightarrow \hat{x}$ is the Teichmüller character.

Definition 1.1. *The sum*

$$S_{f,u}(k, T) = \sum_{x \in (\mathbb{F}_{q^k}^\times)^n} \chi(\text{Norm}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^k}/\mathbb{F}_q}(x)) (1+T)^{\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}_{q^k}/\mathbb{Q}_p}(\hat{f}(\hat{x}))} \in \mathbb{Z}_q[[T]]$$

is called a twisted T -adic exponential sum. And the function

$$L_{f,u}(s, T) = \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} S_{f,u}(k, T) \frac{s^k}{k}\right) \in 1 + s\mathbb{Z}_q[[T]][[s]]$$

is called an L -function of a twisted T -adic exponential sum.

Definition 1.2. *The function*

$$C_{f,u}(s, T) = \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} -(q^k - 1)^{-1} S_{f,u}(k, T) \frac{s^k}{k}\right),$$

is called a C -function of a twisted T -adic exponential sums.

The L-function and C-function determine each other :

$$L_{f,u}(s, T) = \prod_{i=0}^n C_{f,u}(q^i s, T)^{(-1)^{n-i+1} \binom{n}{i}},$$

and

$$C_{f,u}(s, T) = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} L_{f,u}(q^j s, T)^{(-1)^{n-1} \binom{n-j+1}{j}}.$$

By the last identity, one sees that

$$C_{f,u}(s, T) \in 1 + s\mathbb{Z}_q[[T]][[s]].$$

The T -adic exponential sums were first introduced by Liu-Wan [11]. We view $L_{f,u}(s, T)$ and $C_{f,u}(s, T)$ as power series in the single variable s with coefficients in the T -adic complete field $\mathbb{Q}_q((T))$. The C -function $C_{f,u}(s, T)$ was shown to be T -adic entire in s by Liu-Wan [11] for $u = 0$ and Liu [10] for all u .

Let ζ_{p^m} be a primitive p^m -th root of unity, and $\pi_m = \zeta_{p^m} - 1$. Then $L_{f,u}(s, \pi_m)$ is the L -function of the exponential sums $S_{f,u}(k, \pi_m)$ studied by Adolphson-Sperber [1–4] for $m = 1$ and by Liu-Wei [12] and Liu [9] for $m \geq 1$.

Let $C(\Delta)$ be the cone generated by Δ . There is a degree function $\deg$ on $C(\Delta)$ which is $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ -linear and takes values 1 on each co-dimension 1 face not containing 0. For $a \notin C(\Delta)$, we define $\deg(a) = +\infty$. Write $C_u(\Delta) = C(\Delta) \cap (u + (q-1)\mathbb{Z}^n)$ and $M_u(\Delta) = \frac{1}{q-1}C_u(\Delta)$. Let b be the least positive integer such that $p^b u \equiv u \pmod{q-1}$. Order elements of $\bigcup_{i=0}^{b-1} M_{p^i u}(\Delta)$ so that $\deg(x_1) \leq \deg(x_2) \leq \dots$.

Definition 1.3. *The infinite u -twisted Hodge polygon $H_{\Delta,u}^\infty$ of Δ is the convex function on $[0, +\infty]$ with initial point 0 which is linear between consecutive integers and whose slopes are*

$$\frac{\deg(x_{bi+1}) + \deg(x_{bi+2}) + \dots + \deg(x_{b(i+1)})}{b}, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots.$$

Write NP for the short of Newton polygon. Liu [10] proved the following Hodge bound for the C -function $C_{f,u}(s, T)$.

Theorem 1.4. *We have*

$$T\text{-adic NP of } C_{f,u}(s, T) \geq \text{ord}_p(q)(p-1)H_{\Delta,u}^\infty.$$

In the rest of this paper, we assume that $\Delta = [0, d]$ and $a = \log_p q$.

Fix $0 \leq u \leq q-2$. Write $u = u_0 + u_1 p + \dots + u_{a-1} p^{a-1}$ with $0 \leq u_i \leq p-1$. Then we have

$$\frac{u}{q-1} = -(u_0 + u_1 p + \dots), \quad u_i = u_{b+i}.$$

Write $p^i u = q_i(q-1) + s_i$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$ with $0 \leq s_i < q-1$, then $s_{a-l} = u_l + u_{l+1} p + \dots + u_{a+l-1} p^{a-1}$ for $0 \leq l \leq a-1$ and $s_i = s_{b+i}$.

Lemma 1.5. *The infinite u -twisted Hodge polygon $H_{[0,d],u}^\infty$ is the convex function on $[0, +\infty]$ with initial point 0 which is linear between consecutive integers and whose slopes are*

$$\frac{u_0 + u_1 + \cdots + u_{b-1}}{bd(p-1)} + \frac{l}{d}, \quad l = 0, 1, \dots.$$

Proof. One observes that $\frac{s_i}{q-1} + l$ with $0 \leq i \leq b-1$ is just a permutation of $x_{bl+1}, x_{bl+2}, \dots, x_{b(l+1)}$. The lemma follows. $\square$

Definition 1.6. *For any $i, n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define*

$$\delta_\epsilon^{(i)}(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & pl + u_{b-i} \equiv n \pmod{d} \text{ for some } l < d\{\frac{n}{d}\}; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $\{\cdot\}$ is the fractional part of a real number. We also define $\delta_\epsilon^{(i)}(0) = 0$.

Definition 1.7. *The arithmetic polygon $P_{[0,d],u}$ is the convex function on $[0, +\infty]$ with initial point 0 which is linear between consecutive integers and whose slopes are*

$$\omega(n) = \frac{1}{b} \sum_{i=0}^{b-1} (\lceil \frac{(p-1)n + u_{b-i}}{d} \rceil - \delta_\epsilon^{(i)}(n)), \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $\lceil \cdot \rceil$ is the least integer equal or greater than a real number.

Write

$$\{x\}' = 1 + x - \lceil x \rceil = \begin{cases} \{x\}, & \text{if } \{x\} \neq 0, \\ 1, & \text{if } \{x\} = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $\{\cdot\}$ is the fractional part of a real number. Define

$$\varepsilon(u) = \min\{d\{\frac{u_i}{d}\}' \mid 1 \leq i \leq b\}.$$

In this paper, we shall prove the following theorems.

Theorem 1.8. *We have*

$$P_{[0,d],u} \geq (p-1)H_{[0,d],u}^\infty.$$

Moreover, they coincide at the point d .

Theorem 1.9. *Let $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^d (a_i x^i, 0, 0, \dots)$, and $p > 4d - \varepsilon(u)$. Then*

$$T - \text{adic NP of } C_{f,u}(s, T) \geq \text{ord}_p(q) P_{[0,d],u}.$$

Theorem 1.10. *Let $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^d (a_i x^i, 0, 0, \dots)$, and $p > 4d - \varepsilon(u)$. Then there is a non-zero polynomial $H_u(y) \in \mathbb{F}_q[y_i \mid i = 0, 1, \dots, d]$ such that*

$$T - \text{adic NP of } C_{f,u}(s, T) = \text{ord}_p(q) P_{[0,d],u}$$

if and only if $H_u((a_i)_{i=0,1,\dots,d}) \neq 0$.

Theorem 1.11. *Let $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^d (a_i x^i, 0, 0, \dots)$, $p > 4d - \varepsilon(u)$ and $m \geq 1$. Then*

$$\pi_m - \text{adic NP of } C_{f,u}(s, \pi_m) \geq \text{ord}_p(q) P_{[0,d],u}$$

with equality holding if and only if $H_u((a_i)_{i=0,1,\dots,d}) \neq 0$.

By a result of W. Li [7], we see if $p \nmid d$, $L_{f,u}(s, \pi_m)$ is a polynomial of degree $p^{m-1}d$. From the above theorem, we shall deduce the following.

Theorem 1.12. *Let $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^d (a_i x^i, 0, 0, \dots)$, $p > 4d - \varepsilon(u)$ and $m \geq 1$. Then*

$$\pi_m - \text{adic NP of } L_{f,u}(s, \pi_m) \geq \text{ord}_p(q) P_{[0,d],u} \text{ on } [0, p^{m-1}d]$$

with equality holding if and only if $H_u((a_i)_{i=0,1,\dots,d}) \neq 0$.

Note that $\varepsilon(0) = d$ and $P_{[0,d],0}$ is just the arithmetic polygon $p_{[0,d]}$ defined in Liu-Liu-Niu [13]. So the above results are generalizations of the corresponding results in Liu-Liu-Niu [13]. Initiated by a conjecture of Wan [15], the asymptotic behavior of generic Newton polygon of $L_{f,u}(s, \pi_m)$ with $m = 1$ was studied by Zhu [16–18], Blache-Férard [5], and Blache-Férard-Zhu [6].

2. ARITHMETIC ESTIMATE

In this section, let R_i be a finite subset of $\{1, 2, \dots, a\} \times (\frac{s_i}{q-1} + \mathbb{N})$ with cardinality an for each $1 \leq i \leq b$, τ a permutation of $R = \bigcup_{i=1}^b R_i$.

Write $i(l) = i$ if $l \in R_i$. We shall estimate

$$\sum_{i=1}^b \sum_{l \in R_i} \left\lceil \frac{p\phi_i(l) - \phi_{i(\tau(l))}(\tau(l)) + u_{b-i}}{d} \right\rceil,$$

where ϕ_i is the projection $\{1, 2, \dots, a\} \times (\frac{s_i}{q-1} + \mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\phi_i((\cdot, \frac{s_i}{q-1} + l)) = l.$$

Define

$$\delta_{<}^{(i)}(l) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \{\frac{l}{d}\}' < \{\frac{pl+u_{b-i}}{d}\}'; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Write $A_n = \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ and $\mu = \{\frac{n-1}{d}\}$.

Lemma 2.1. *For any $1 \leq i \leq b$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} (\delta_{<}^{(i)}(l) - \delta_{\in}^{(i)}(l)) \\ &= \#\{l \in A_n \mid \{\frac{l}{d}\}' \leq \mu < \{\frac{pl+u_{b-i}}{d}\}'\} - \#\{l \in A_n \mid \{\frac{l}{d}\}' > \mu \geq \{\frac{pl+u_{b-i}}{d}\}'\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Note that both $\delta_{<}^{(i)}$ and $\delta_{\in}^{(i)}$ have a period d and initial value 0, so we may assume that $n \leq d$. The case $n = 1$ is trivial. We are going to show this for $n \geq 2$. Write

$$\delta_{[m,n]}^{(i)} = \begin{cases} 1, & pl + u_{b-i} \equiv 0 \pmod{d} \text{ for some } m \leq l \leq n; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Hence by definition, we have

$$\sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \delta_{<}^{(i)}(l) = \#\{1 \leq l \leq n-1 \mid \{\frac{l}{d}\} < \{\frac{pl + u_{b-i}}{d}\}\} + \delta_{[1,n-1]}^{(i)}.$$

We also have

$$\sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \delta_{\in}^{(i)}(l) = \#\{1 \leq l \leq n-2 \mid l+1 \leq d\{\frac{pl + u_{b-i}}{d}\} \leq n-1\} + 1_{\frac{1}{d} \leq \{\frac{u_{b-i}}{d}\} \leq \frac{n-1}{d}}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} (\delta_{<}^{(i)}(l) - \delta_{\in}^{(i)}(l)) \\ &= \#\{1 \leq l \leq n-1 \mid \{\frac{pl + u_{b-i}}{d}\} > \mu\} + \delta_{[1,n-1]}^{(i)} - 1_{\frac{1}{d} \leq \{\frac{u_{b-i}}{d}\} \leq \frac{n-1}{d}}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \#\{l \in A_n \mid \{\frac{l}{d}\}' \leq \mu < \{\frac{pl + u_{b-i}}{d}\}'\} \\ &= \#\{1 \leq l \leq n-1 \mid \{\frac{pl + u_{b-i}}{d}\} > \mu\} + \delta_{[1,n-1]}^{(i)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\#\{l \in A_n \mid \{\frac{l}{d}\}' > \mu \geq \{\frac{pl + u_{b-i}}{d}\}'\} = 1_{\frac{1}{d} \leq \{\frac{u_{b-i}}{d}\} \leq \frac{n-1}{d}},$$

the lemma follows. $\square$

Lemma 2.2. *Let A, B, C be sets with A finite, and τ a permutation of A . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \#\{a \in A \mid \tau(a) \in B, a \in C\} \\ & \geq \#\{a \in A \mid a \in B, a \in C\} - \#\{a \in A \mid a \notin B, a \notin C\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The reader may refer [13] and we omit the proof here. $\square$

For $l \in R_i$, define

$$\delta_{<,\tau}^{(i)}(l) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \{\frac{\phi_i(\tau(l))(\tau(l))}{d}\}' < \{\frac{p\phi_i(l)+u_{b-i}}{d}\}'; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2.3. *We have*

$$\sum_{i=1}^b \sum_{l \in R_i} \delta_{<,\tau}^{(i)}(l) \geq a \sum_{i=1}^b \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} (\delta_{<}^{(i)} - \delta_{\in}^{(i)})(l) - 2 \sum_{i=1}^b \#\{l \in R_i \mid \phi_i(l) > n-1\}.$$

Proof. By definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^b \sum_{l \in R_i} \delta_{<, \tau}^{(i)}(l) &= \#\{l \in R | \{\frac{\phi_{i(\tau(l))}(\tau(l))}{d}\}' < \{\frac{p\phi_{i(l)}(l) + u_{b-i(l)}}{d}\}'\} \\ &\geq \#\{l \in R | \{\frac{\phi_{i(\tau(l))}(\tau(l))}{d}\}' \leq \mu < \{\frac{p\phi_{i(l)}(l) + u_{b-i(l)}}{d}\}'\}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the last lemma with $A = R$ and

$$B = \{l \in R | \{\frac{\phi_{i(l)}(l)}{d}\}' \leq \mu\}, \quad C = \{l \in R | \mu < \{\frac{p\phi_{i(l)}(l) + u_{b-i(l)}}{d}\}'\},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^b \sum_{l \in R_i} \delta_{<, \tau}^{(i)}(l) &\geq \#\{l \in R | \{\frac{\phi_{i(l)}(l)}{d}\}' \leq \mu < \{\frac{p\phi_{i(l)}(l) + u_{b-i(l)}}{d}\}'\} \\ &\quad - \#\{l \in R | \{\frac{\phi_{i(l)}(l)}{d}\}' > \mu \geq \{\frac{p\phi_{i(l)}(l) + u_{b-i(l)}}{d}\}'\} \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} &\#\{l \in R_i | \{\frac{\phi_i(l)}{d}\}' \leq \mu < \{\frac{p\phi_i(l) + u_{b-i}}{d}\}'\} \\ &\geq \#\{\phi_i(l) \in A_n | \{\frac{\phi_i(l)}{d}\}' \leq \mu < \{\frac{p\phi_i(l) + u_{b-i}}{d}\}'\} - \#\{\phi_i(l) \in A_n, l \notin R_i\} \\ &= a\#\{l \in A_n | \{\frac{l}{d}\}' \leq \mu < \{\frac{pl + u_{b-i}}{d}\}'\} - \#\{\phi_i(l) \in A_n, l \notin R_i\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\#\{l \in R_i | \{\frac{\phi_i(l)}{d}\}' > \mu \geq \{\frac{p\phi_i(l) + u_{b-i}}{d}\}'\} \\ &\leq \#\{\phi_i(l) \in A_n | \{\frac{\phi_i(l)}{d}\}' > \mu \geq \{\frac{p\phi_i(l) + u_{b-i}}{d}\}'\} + \#\{l \in R_i, \phi_i(l) > n-1\} \\ &= a\#\{l \in A_n | \{\frac{l}{d}\}' > \mu \geq \{\frac{pl + u_{b-i}}{d}\}'\} + \#\{l \in R_i, \phi_i(l) > n-1\}. \end{aligned}$$

By $\#\{\phi_i(l) \in A_n, l \notin R_i\} = \#\{l \in R_i, \phi_i(l) \notin A_n\}$, the theorem follows from Lemma 2.1. $\square$

Theorem 2.4. *If $p > 4d - \varepsilon(u)$, then we have*

$$\sum_{i=1}^b \sum_{l \in R_i} \lceil \frac{p\phi_i(l) - \phi_{i(\tau(l))}(\tau(l)) + u_{b-i}}{d} \rceil \geq abP_{[0,d],u}(n) + \#\{l \in R, \phi_{i(l)}(l) > n-1\}.$$

Proof. By the definition of $\delta_{<, \tau}^{(i)}(l)$, we see

$$\lceil \frac{p\phi_i(l) - \phi_{i(\tau(l))}(\tau(l)) + u_{b-i}}{d} \rceil = \lceil \frac{p\phi_i(l) + u_{b-i}}{d} \rceil - \lceil \frac{\phi_{i(\tau(l))}(\tau(l))}{d} \rceil + \delta_{<, \tau}^{(i)}(l).$$

Since $p > 4d - \varepsilon(u)$, we have

$$\sum_{l \in R_i} (\lceil \frac{p\phi_i(l) + u_{b-i}}{d} \rceil - \lceil \frac{\phi_i(l)}{d} \rceil)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left(\sum_{\phi_i(l) \in A_n} + \sum_{\substack{l \in R_i \\ \phi_i(l) \notin A_n}} - \sum_{\substack{\phi_i(l) \in A_n \\ l \notin R_i}} \right) \left(\left\lceil \frac{p\phi_i(l) + u_{b-i}}{d} \right\rceil - \left\lceil \frac{\phi_i(l)}{d} \right\rceil \right) \\
&\geq a \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \left(\left\lceil \frac{pl + u_{b-i}}{d} \right\rceil - \left\lceil \frac{l}{d} \right\rceil \right) + 3\#\{l \in R_i \mid \phi_i(l) > n-1\}.
\end{aligned}$$

The theorem is proved by Theorem 2.3. $\square$

3. TWISTED T -ADIC DWORK'S TRACE FORMULA

In this section we review the twisted T -adic analogy of Dwork's theory on exponential sums.

Let $E(x) = \exp\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{p^i}}{p^i}\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n x^n$ be the Artin-Hasse series. Define a new T -adic uniformizer π of the T -adic local ring $\mathbb{Q}_p[[T]]$ by the formula $E(\pi) = 1 + T$.

Recall $M_u = M_u([0, d]) = \{v \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0} \mid v \equiv \frac{u}{q-1} \pmod{1}\}$. Write

$$L_u = \left\{ \sum_{v \in M_u} b_v \pi^{\frac{v}{d}} x^v : b_v \in \mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi^{\frac{1}{d(q-1)}}]] \right\}$$

and

$$B_u = \left\{ \sum_{v \in M_u} b_v \pi^{\frac{v}{d}} x^v : b_v \in \mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi^{\frac{1}{d(q-1)}}]]; \text{ord}_{\pi} b_v \rightarrow \infty, v \rightarrow \infty \right\}.$$

Define $\psi_p : L_u \rightarrow L_{p^{-1}u}$ by $\psi_p\left(\sum_{v \in M_u} b_v x^v\right) = \sum_{v \in M_{p^{-1}u}} b_{pv} x^v$. Then the map $\psi_p \circ E_f$ sends L_u to $B_{p^{-1}u}$, where $E_f(x) = \prod_{a_i \neq 0} E(\pi \hat{a}_i x^i) \in L_0$.

The Galois group $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_q/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ can act on B_u by fixing $\pi^{\frac{1}{d(q-1)}}$ and x . Let $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_q/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ be the Frobenius element such that $\sigma(\zeta) = \zeta^p$ if ζ is a $(q-1)$ -th root of unity. The operator $\Psi = \sigma^{-1} \circ \psi_p \circ E_f(x)$ sends B_u to $B_{p^{-1}u}$, hence Ψ operators on $B = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{b-1} B_{p^i u}$. We call it Dwork's T -adic semi-linear operator because it is semi-linear over $\mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi^{\frac{1}{d(q-1)}}]]$.

Note that $\Psi^a = \psi_p^a \circ \prod_{i=0}^{a-1} E_f^{\sigma^i}(x^{p^i})$. It follows that Ψ^a operates on B_u and is linear over $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi^{\frac{1}{d(q-1)}}]]$. Moreover, one can show that Ψ is completely continuous in sense of Serre [14], so $\det(1 - \Psi^a s | B_u/\mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi^{\frac{1}{d(q-1)}}]])$ and $\det(1 - \Psi s | B/\mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi^{\frac{1}{d(q-1)}}]])$ are well defined.

Now we state the twisted T -adic Dwork's trace formula [9].

Theorem 3.1. *We have*

$$C_{f,u}(s, T) = \det(1 - \Psi^a s | B_u/\mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi^{\frac{1}{d(q-1)}}]]).$$

4. THE TWISTED DWORK SEMI-LINEAR OPERATOR

In this section, we shall study the twisted Dwork semi-linear operator Ψ .

Recall that $\Psi = \sigma^{-1} \circ \psi_p \circ E_f$, $E_f(x) = \prod_{i=0}^d E(\pi \hat{a}_i x^i) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_n x^n$, where

$$\gamma_n = \sum_{\sum_{i=0}^d i n_i = n, n_i \geq 0} \pi^{\sum_{i=0}^d n_i} \prod_{i=0}^d \lambda_{n_i} \hat{a}_i^{n_i}.$$

We see $B = \bigoplus_{i=1}^b B_{p^i u}$ has a basis represented by $\{x^{\frac{s_i}{q-1}+j}\}_{1 \leq i \leq b, j \in \mathbb{N}}$ over $\mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi^{\frac{1}{d(q-1)}}]]$. We have

$$\Psi(x^{\frac{s_i}{q-1}+j}) = \sigma^{-1} \circ \psi_p \left(\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \gamma_l x^{\frac{s_i}{q-1}+j+l} \right) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{pl+u_{b-i}-j}^{\sigma^{-1}} x^{l+\frac{s_i-1}{q-1}}.$$

For $1 \leq k, i \leq b$ and $l, j \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$\gamma_{(\frac{s_k}{q-1}+l, \frac{s_i}{q-1}+j)} = \begin{cases} \gamma_{pl+u_{b-i}-j}, & k = i - 1; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_a$ be a normal basis of $\mathbb{Q}_q$ over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and write

$$\xi_v^{\sigma^{-1}} \gamma_{(\frac{s_k}{q-1}+l, \frac{s_i}{q-1}+j)}^{\sigma^{-1}} = \sum_{w=1}^a \gamma_{(w, \frac{s_k}{q-1}+l)(v, \frac{s_i}{q-1}+j)} \xi_w.$$

It is easy to see $\gamma_{(w, \frac{s_k}{q-1}+l)(v, \frac{s_i}{q-1}+j)} = 0$ for any w and v if $k \neq i - 1$. Define the i -th submatrix by

$$\Gamma^{(i)} = (\gamma_{(w, \frac{s_{i-1}}{q-1}+l)(v, \frac{s_i}{q-1}+j)})_{1 \leq w, v \leq a; l, j \in \mathbb{N}},$$

then the matrix of the operator Ψ on B over $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi^{\frac{1}{d(q-1)}}]]$ with respect to the basis $\{\xi_v x^{\frac{s_i}{q-1}+j}\}_{1 \leq i \leq b, 1 \leq v \leq a; j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is

$$\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \Gamma^{(1)} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \Gamma^{(2)} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \Gamma^{(b-1)} \\ \Gamma^{(b)} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence by a result of Li-Zhu [8], we have

$$\det(1 - \Psi s | B / \mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi^{\frac{1}{d(q-1)}}]]) = \det(1 - \Gamma s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{bn} C_{bn} s^{bn},$$

with $C_n = \sum \det(A)$ where A runs over all principle $n \times n$ submatrix of Γ .

For every principle submatrix A of Γ , write $A^{(i)} = A \cap \Gamma^{(i)}$ as the submatrix of $\Gamma^{(i)}$. For a principle $bn \times bn$ submatrix A of Γ , by linear algebra, if one of $A^{(i)}$ is not $n \times n$ submatrix of $\Gamma^{(i)}$ then at least one row or column

of A are 0 since A is principle. Let $\mathcal{A}_n$ be the set of all $bn \times bn$ principle submatrix A of Γ with $A^{(i)}$ all $n \times n$ submatrix of $\Gamma^{(i)}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq b$. Then we have

$$C_{bn} = \sum_{A \in \mathcal{A}_n} \det(A) = \sum_{A \in \mathcal{A}_n} (-1)^{n^2(b-1)} \prod_{i=1}^b \det(A^{(i)}).$$

Let $O(\pi^\alpha)$ denotes any element of π -adic order $\geq \alpha$.

Lemma 4.1. *We have*

$$\gamma_n = \pi^{\lceil \frac{n}{d} \rceil} \sum_{\substack{\sum_{i=1}^d in_i = n \\ \sum_{i=1}^d n_i = \lceil \frac{n}{d} \rceil}} \prod_{i=1}^d \lambda_{n_i} \hat{a}_i^{n_i} + O(\pi^{\lceil \frac{n}{d} \rceil + 1}).$$

Proof. This follows from the fact that $\sum_{i=0}^d n_i \geq \lceil \frac{n}{d} \rceil$ if $\sum_{i=0}^d in_i = n$. $\square$

Corollary 4.2. *For any $1 \leq i \leq b$ and $1 \leq w, v \leq a$, we have*

$$\gamma_{(w, \frac{s_{i-1}}{q-1} + l)(v, \frac{s_i}{q-1} + j)} = O(\pi^{\lceil \frac{pl + u_{b-i} - j}{d} \rceil}).$$

Proof. By

$$\xi_v^{\sigma^{-1}} \gamma_{(\frac{s_{i-1}}{q-1} + l, \frac{s_i}{q-1} + j)}^{\sigma^{-1}} = \xi_v^{\sigma^{-1}} \gamma_{pl-j+u_{b-i}}^{\sigma^{-1}} = \sum_{w=1}^a \gamma_{(w, \frac{s_{i-1}}{q-1} + l)(v, \frac{s_i}{q-1} + j)} \xi_w,$$

this follows from Lemma 4.1. $\square$

Theorem 4.3. *If $p > 4d - \varepsilon(u)$, then we have*

$$\text{ord}_\pi(C_{abn}) \geq abP_{[0,d],u}(n).$$

In particular,

$$C_{abn} = \pm \text{Norm} \left(\prod_{i=1}^b \det((\gamma_{pl-j+u_{b-i}})_{l,j \in A_n}) \right) + O(\pi^{abP_{[0,d],u}(n) + \frac{1}{d(q-1)}}),$$

where Norm is the norm map from $\mathbb{Q}_q(\pi^{\frac{1}{d(q-1)}})$ to $\mathbb{Q}_p(\pi^{\frac{1}{d(q-1)}})$.

Proof. Let $A \in \mathcal{A}_{an}$, R_i the set of rows of $A^{(i)}$ as the submatrix of $\Gamma^{(i)}$, τ a permutation of $R = \bigcup_i R_i$. By the above corollary and Theorem 2.4, we have

$$\text{ord}_\pi(C_{abn}) \geq \sum_{i=1}^b \sum_{l \in R_i} \lceil \frac{p\phi_i(l) + u_{b-i} - \phi_{i(\tau(l))}(\tau(l))}{d} \rceil \geq abP_{[0,d],u}(n).$$

Moreover the strict inequality holds if there exist $1 \leq i \leq b$ such that $R_i \neq \phi_i^{-1}(A_n)$, hence

$$C_{abn} = \prod_{i=1}^b \det(\gamma_{(w, \frac{s_{i-1}}{q-1} + l)(v, \frac{s_i}{q-1} + j)})_{1 \leq w, v \leq a; l, j \in A_n} + O(\pi^{abP_{[0,d],u}(n) + \frac{1}{d(q-1)}}).$$

Therefore the theorem follows from

$$\det((\gamma_{(w, \frac{s_{i-1}}{q-1} + l)(v, \frac{s_i}{q-1} + j)})_{1 \leq w, v \leq a; l, j \in A_n}) = \pm \text{Norm}(\det(\gamma_{(\frac{s_{i-1}}{q-1} + l, \frac{s_i}{q-1} + j)})_{l, j \in A_n}).$$

□

5. HASSE POLYNOMIAL

In this section, $1 \leq n \leq d-1$. We shall study $\det((\gamma_{pl-j+u_{b-i}})_{0 \leq l, j \leq n-1})$.

Definition 5.1. Let S_n be the set of permutations of $A_n = \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$. For $1 \leq i \leq b$, we define

$$S_{n,i} = \{\tau \in S_n \mid \frac{\tau(l)}{d} \geq \frac{pl + u_{b-i}}{d} - \lceil \frac{pl + u_{b-i} - (n-1)}{d} \rceil\}.$$

Lemma 5.2. Let $p > 4d - \varepsilon(u)$ and $\tau \in S_n$. Then we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^b \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \lceil \frac{pl + u_{b-i} - \tau(l)}{d} \rceil \geq bP_{[0,d],u}(n),$$

with equality holding if and only if $\tau \in S_{n,i}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq b$.

Proof. Because

$$\lceil \frac{pl + u_{b-i} - \tau(l)}{d} \rceil \geq \lceil \frac{pl + u_{b-i} - (n-1)}{d} \rceil$$

with equality holds if and only if

$$\frac{\tau(l)}{d} \geq \frac{pl + u_{b-i}}{d} - \lceil \frac{pl + u_{b-i} - (n-1)}{d} \rceil,$$

it suffices to show for each $1 \leq i \leq b$,

$$\sum_{l=0}^{n-1} (\lceil \frac{(p-1)l + u_{b-i}}{d} \rceil - \delta_{\in}^{(i)}(l)) = \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \lceil \frac{pl + u_{b-i} - (n-1)}{d} \rceil.$$

It is trivial for $n = 1$. For $n \geq 2$, it need to show

$$\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} (\delta_{<}^{(i)}(l) - \delta_{\in}^{(i)}(l)) = \#\{0 \leq l \leq n-1 \mid \{\frac{n-1}{d}\}' < \{\frac{pl + u_{b-i}}{d}\}'\} - 1.$$

By Lemma 2.1, in case of $d \mid u_{b-i}$, the lemma follows from

$$\delta_{[1,n-1]}^{(i)} = 1_{\frac{1}{d} \leq \{\frac{u_{b-i}}{d}\} \leq \frac{n-1}{d}} = 0,$$

and

$$\#\{0 \leq l \leq n-1 \mid \{\frac{n-1}{d}\}' < \{\frac{pl + u_{b-i}}{d}\}'\}$$

$$= 1 + \#\{1 \leq l \leq n-1 \mid \{\frac{n-1}{d}\} < \{\frac{pl+u_{b-i}}{d}\}\}.$$

In case of $d \nmid u_{b-i}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \#\{0 \leq l \leq n-1 \mid \{\frac{n-1}{d}\}' < \{\frac{pl+u_{b-i}}{d}\}'\} \\ &= \#\{1 \leq l \leq n-1 \mid \{\frac{n-1}{d}\} < \{\frac{pl+u_{b-i}}{d}\}\} + \delta_{[1, n-1]}^{(i)} + 1_{\frac{n-1}{d} < \{\frac{u_{b-i}}{d}\}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence it follows from the equation $1_{\frac{1}{d} \leq \{\frac{u_{b-i}}{d}\} \leq \frac{n-1}{d}} + 1_{\frac{n-1}{d} < \{\frac{u_{b-i}}{d}\}} = 1$. $\square$

Definition 5.3. Define $H_{n,u}(y) = \prod_{i=1}^b H_{n,u}^{(i)}(y)$, where

$$H_{n,u}^{(i)}(y) = \sum_{\tau \in S_{n,i}} \text{sgn}(\tau) \prod_{l \in A_n} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ \sum_{j=1}^d j n_j = pl - \tau(l) + u_{b-i}}}^d \prod_{j=1}^d \lambda_{n_j} y_j^{n_j}.$$

Theorem 5.4. Let $p > 4d - \varepsilon(u)$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{i=1}^b \det((\gamma_{pl-j+u_{b-i}})_{l,j \in A_n}) \\ &= H_{n,u}((\hat{a}_i)_{i=0,1,\dots,d}) \pi^{bP_{[0,d],u}(n)} + O(\pi^{bP_{[0,d],u}(n) + \frac{1}{d(q-1)}}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We have

$$\det(\gamma_{pl-j+u_{b-i}})_{0 \leq l, j \leq n-1} = \sum_{\tau \in S_n} \text{sgn}(\tau) \prod_{l=0}^{n-1} \gamma_{pl-\tau(l)+u_{b-i}}.$$

The theorem now follows from Lemma 4.1 and 5.2. $\square$

Definition 5.5. The reduction of $H_{n,u}$ modulo p is denoted as $\overline{H}_{n,u}$, and is called the u -twisted Hasse polynomial of $[0, d]$ at n . The u -twisted Hasse polynomial H_u of $[0, d]$ is defined by $H_u = \prod_{n=1}^{d-1} \overline{H}_{n,u}$.

Theorem 5.6. The u -twisted Hasse polynomial H_u of $[0, d]$ is nonzero.

Proof. As a polynomial of y_d , the leading terms of $H_{n,u}^{(i)}(y)$ appear in

$$\sum_{\tau \in S_{n,i}} \text{sgn}(\tau) \prod_{l=0}^{n-1} \lambda_{\lceil \frac{pl+u_{b-i}-\tau(l)}{d} \rceil} y_d^{\lceil \frac{pl+u_{b-i}-\tau(l)}{d} \rceil} y^{\delta_\tau(l)}_{d \lfloor \frac{pl+u_{b-i}-\tau(l)}{d} \rfloor},$$

where $\delta_\tau(l)$ is 0 or 1 depending on whether $d \mid (pl + u_{b-i} - \tau(l))$ or not.

We show among the leading terms of $H_{n,u}^{(i)}(y)$, there is exactly one minimal monomial.

For $0 \leq l \leq n-1$, write $pl + u_{b-i} = q_l d + r_l$, $0 \leq r_l \leq d-1$. Then $\tau \in S_{n,i}$ is equivalent to

$$\frac{\tau(l)}{d} \geq \frac{r_l}{d} - \lceil \frac{r_l - (n-1)}{d} \rceil.$$

Assume we have $r_{l_0} < r_{l_1} < \cdots < r_{l_m} \leq n-1 < r_{l_{m+1}} < \cdots < r_{l_{n-1}}$. Hence $\tau \in S_{n,i}$ if and only if $\tau(l_j) \geq r_{l_j}$ for $0 \leq j \leq m$.

Since

$$\sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \left\lceil \frac{pl + u_{b-i} - \tau(l)}{d} \right\rceil = \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} ql + \sum_{j=0}^m \left\lceil \frac{r_{l_j} - \tau(l_j)}{d} \right\rceil \leq \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} ql,$$

with equality holding if and only if $r_{l_j} = \tau(l_j)$ for all $0 \leq j \leq m$. Therefore the leading terms appear for such τ that $r_{l_j} = \tau(l_j)$ for all $0 \leq j \leq m$.

For $m+1 \leq j \leq n-1$, we have $\delta_\tau(l_j) = 1$ and $\left\{ \frac{pl_j + u_{b-i} - \tau(l_j)}{d} \right\} = \frac{r_{l_j} - \tau(l_j)}{d}$. Hence among the leading terms, the minimal monomial appears exactly when $\tau(l_j) = r_{l_j}$ for all $0 \leq j \leq m$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(l_{m+1}) &= \max\{A_n - \{r_{l_0}, \dots, r_{l_m}\}\}, \\ \tau(l_{m+2}) &= \max\{A_n - \{r_{l_0}, \dots, r_{l_m}, \tau(l_{m+1})\}\}, \\ &\dots \\ \tau(l_{n-1}) &= A_n - \{r_{l_0}, \dots, r_{l_m}, \tau(l_{m+1}), \dots, \tau(l_{n-2})\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now the theorem follows from $\lambda_j = \frac{1}{j!} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ for $0 \leq j \leq p-1$. $\square$

6. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREMS

In this section we prove the main theorems of this paper.

Firstly we prove Theorem 1.8, which says that

$$P_{[0,d],u} \geq (p-1)H_{[0,d],u}^\infty$$

with equality holding at the point d .

Proof of Theorem 1.8. It need only to show this for $n \leq d$. By the equation

$$\sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \left(\left\lceil \frac{(p-1)l + u_i}{d} \right\rceil - \delta_\epsilon^{(i)}(l) \right) = \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \left\lceil \frac{pl + u_i - (n-1)}{d} \right\rceil$$

that used in the proof of Lemma 5.2, it suffices to show

$$\sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \left\lceil \frac{pl + u_i - (n-1)}{d} \right\rceil \geq \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \frac{(p-1)l + u_i}{d}.$$

The case $n = 1$ is trivial. For $n > 1$, since

$$\sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \left\lceil \frac{pl + u_i - (n-1)}{d} \right\rceil = \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{pl + u_i}{d} - \left\{ \frac{pl + u_i}{d} \right\}' + 1_{\left\{ \frac{n-1}{d} \right\} < \left\{ \frac{pl + u_i}{d} \right\}'} \right),$$

it suffices to show

$$\sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \left(\left\{ \frac{pl + u_i}{d} \right\}' - 1_{\left\{ \frac{n-1}{d} \right\} < \left\{ \frac{pl + u_i}{d} \right\}'} \right) \leq \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \frac{l}{d}.$$

Now the inequality follows from

$$\sum_{l=0}^{n-1} (\{\frac{pl+u_i}{d}\}' - 1_{\{\frac{n-1}{d}\} < \{\frac{pl+u_i}{d}\}'}) \leq \sum_{l=0, \{\frac{pl+u_i}{d}\}' \leq \{\frac{n-1}{d}\}}^{n-1} \{\frac{pl+u_i}{d}\}'.$$

Moreover the equalities above hold when $n = d$, the theorem is proved. $\square$

Lemma 6.1. *The T -adic Newton polygon of $\det(1 - \Psi^a s^a | B/\mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi^{\frac{1}{d(q-1)}}]])$ coincides with that of $\det(1 - \Psi s | B/\mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi^{\frac{1}{d(q-1)}}]])$.*

Proof. The lemma follows from the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{\zeta^a=1} \det(1 - \Psi \zeta s | B/\mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi^{\frac{1}{d(q-1)}}]]) &= \det(1 - \Psi^a s^a | B/\mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi^{\frac{1}{d(q-1)}}]]) \\ &= \text{Norm}(\det(1 - \Psi^a s^a | B/\mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi^{\frac{1}{d(q-1)}}]])), \end{aligned}$$

where Norm is the norm map from $\mathbb{Q}_q[[\pi^{\frac{1}{d(q-1)}}]]$ to $\mathbb{Q}_p[[\pi^{\frac{1}{d(q-1)}}]]$. $\square$

Lemma 6.2. *The T -adic Newton polygon of $C_{f,u}(s, T)^b$ coincides with that of $\det(1 - \Psi^a s | B/\mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi^{\frac{1}{d(q-1)}}]])$.*

Proof. Let $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_q/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ act on $\mathbb{Z}_q[[T]][[s]]$ by fixing s and T , then we have $C_{pu,f}(s, T) = C_{f,u}(s, T)^\sigma$. Therefore the lemma follows from the following

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=0}^{b-1} C_{f,u}(s, T)^{\sigma^i} &= \prod_{i=0}^{b-1} C_{p^i u, f}(s, T) \\ &= \prod_{i=0}^{b-1} \det(1 - \Psi^a s | B_{p^i u}/\mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi^{\frac{1}{d(q-1)}}]]) = \det(1 - \Psi^a s | B/\mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi^{\frac{1}{d(q-1)}}]]). \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Theorem 6.3. *The T -adic Newton polygon of $C_{f,u}(s, T)$ is the lower convex closure of the points*

$$(n, \frac{1}{b} \text{ord}_T(C_{abn})), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots.$$

Proof. By Lemma 6.1, we see the T -adic Newton polygon of the power series $\det(1 - \Psi^a s^a | B/\mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi^{\frac{1}{d(q-1)}}]])$ is the lower convex closure of the points

$$(n, \text{ord}_T(C_n)), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots.$$

It is clear that $(n, \text{ord}_T(C_n))$ is not a vertex of that polygon if $a \nmid n$. So that Newton polygon is the lower convex closure of the points

$$(an, \text{ord}_T(C_{an})), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots.$$

Hence the T -adic Newton polygon of $\det(1 - \Psi^a s | B/\mathbb{Z}_q[[\pi^{\frac{1}{d(q-1)}}]])$ is the convex closure of the points

$$(n, \text{ord}_T(C_{an})), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots.$$

By Lemma 6.2, the T -adic Newton polygon of $C_{f,u}(s, T)^b$ is the lower convex closure of the points

$$(n, \text{ord}_T(C_{an})), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots,$$

hence the closure of the points

$$(bn, \text{ord}_T(C_{abn})), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots.$$

It follows that the T -adic Newton polygon of $C_{f,u}(s, T)$ is the convex closure of the points

$$(n, \frac{1}{b} \text{ord}_T(C_{abn})), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots.$$

The theorem is proved. $\square$

We now prove Theorem 1.9, which says that if $p > 4d - \varepsilon(u)$,

$$T - \text{adic NP of } C_{f,u}(s, T) \geq \text{ord}_p(q)P_{[0,d],u}.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.9. The theorem follows from Theorem 4.3 and the last theorem. $\square$

Theorem 6.4. *Let $A(s, T)$ be a T -adic entrie series in s with unitary constant term. If $0 \neq |t|_p < 1$, then*

$$t - \text{adic NP of } A(s, t) \geq T - \text{adic NP of } A(s, T),$$

where NP is the short for Newton polygon. Moreover, the equality holds for one t if and only if it holds for all t .

Proof. The reader may refer [13] and we omit the proof here. $\square$

Theorem 6.5. *Let $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^d (a_i x^i, 0, 0, \dots)$, and $p > 4d - \varepsilon(u)$. If the equality*

$$\pi_m - \text{adic NP of } C_{f,u}(s, \pi_m) = \text{ord}_p(q)P_{[0,d],u}$$

holds for one $m \geq 1$, then it holds for all $m \geq 1$, and we have

$$T - \text{adic NP of } C_{f,u}(s, T) = \text{ord}_p(q)P_{[0,d],u}.$$

Proof. This follows from Theorem 1.9 and the last theorem. $\square$

Theorem 6.6. *Let $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^d (a_i x^i, 0, 0, \dots)$. Then*

$$\pi_m - \text{adic NP of } C_{f,u}(s, \pi_m) = \text{ord}_p(q)P_{[0,d],u}$$

if and only if

$$\pi_m - \text{adic NP of } L_{f,u}(s, \pi_m) = \text{ord}_p(q)P_{[0,d],u} \text{ on } [0, p^{m-1}d].$$

Proof. Assume that $L_{f,u}(s, \pi_m) = \prod_{i=1}^{p^{m-1}d} (1 - \beta_i s)$. Then

$$C_{f,u}(s, \pi_m) = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} L_{f,u}(q^j s, \pi_m) = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{p^{m-1}d} (1 - \beta_i q^j s).$$

Therefore the slopes of the q -adic Newton polygon of $C_{f,u}(s, \pi_m)$ are the numbers

$$j + \text{ord}_q(\beta_i), \quad 1 \leq i \leq p^{m-1} \text{Vol}(\Delta), j = 0, 1, \dots.$$

Since

$$w(i + p^{m-1}d) = p^m - p^{m-1} + w(i),$$

then the slopes of $P_{[0,d],u}$ are the numbers

$$j(p^m - p^{m-1}) + w(i), \quad 1 \leq i \leq p^{m-1}d, j = 0, 1, \dots.$$

It follows that

$$\pi_m - \text{adic NP of } C_{f,u}(s, \pi_m) = \text{ord}_p(q)P_{[0,d],u}$$

if and only if

$$\pi_m - \text{adic NP of } L_{f,u}(s, \pi_m) = \text{ord}_p(q)P_{[0,d],u} \text{ on } [0, p^{m-1}d].$$

□

We now prove Theorems 1.10, 1.11 and 1.12. By the above theorems, it suffices to prove the following.

Theorem 6.7. *Let $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^d (a_i x^i, 0, 0, \dots)$, and $p > 4d - \varepsilon(u)$. Then*

$$\pi_1 - \text{adic NP of } L_{f,u}(s, \pi_1) = \text{ord}_p(q)P_{[0,d],u} \text{ on } [0, d]$$

if and only if $H((a_i)_{0 \leq i \leq d}) \neq 0$.

Proof. By a result of Liu [10], the q -adic Newton polygon of $L_{f,u}(s, \pi_1)$ coincides with $H_{[0,d],u}^\infty$ at the point d . By Theorem 1.8, $P_{[0,d],u}$ coincides with $(p-1)H_{[0,d],u}^\infty$ at the point d . It follows that the π_1 -adic Newton polygon of $L_{f,u}(s, \pi_1)$ coincides with $\text{ord}_p(q)P_{[0,d],u}$ at the point d . Therefore it suffices to show that

$$\pi_1 - \text{adic NP of } L_{f,u}(s, \pi_1) = \text{ord}_p(q)P_{[0,d],u} \text{ on } [0, d-1]$$

if and only if $H((a_i)_{0 \leq i \leq d}) \neq 0$.

From the identity

$$C_{f,u}(s, \pi_1) = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} L_{f,u}(q^j s, \pi_1),$$

and the fact the q -adic orders of the reciprocal roots of $L_{f,u}(s, \pi_1)$ are no greater than 1, we infer that

$$\pi_1 - \text{adic NP of } L_{f,u}(s, \pi_1) = \pi_1 - \text{adic NP of } C_{f,u}(s, \pi_1) \text{ on } [0, d-1].$$

Therefore it suffices to show that

$$\pi_1 - \text{adic NP of } C_{f,u}(s, \pi_1) = \text{ord}_p(q)P_{[0,d],u} \text{ on } [0, d-1]$$

if and only if $H((a_i)_{0 \leq i \leq d}) \neq 0$. The theorem now follows from the T -adic Dwork trace formula and Theorems 4.3 and 5.4. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Adolphson and S. Sperber, Exponential sums and Newton polyhedra: cohomology and estimates, *Ann. Math.*, 130 (1989), 367-406.
- [2] A. Adolphson and S. Sperber, Newton polyhedra and the degree of the L-function associated to an exponential sum, *Invent. Math.* 88(1987), 555-569.
- [3] A. Adolphson and S. Sperber, On twisted exponential sums, *Math. Ann.*, 290 (1991), 713-726.
- [4] A. Adolphson and S. Sperber, Twisted exponential sums and Newton polyhedra, *J. reine angew. Math.*, 443 (1993), 151-177.
- [5] R. Blache and E. Férard, Newton stratification for polynomials: the open stratum, *J. Number Theory*, 123(2007), 456-472.
- [6] R. Blache, E. Férard and J.H. Zhu, Hodge-Stickelberger polygons for L-functions of exponential sums of $P(x^s)$, *Math. Res. Letter*, 15 (2008), 1053-1071.
- [7] W. -C. W. Li, Character sums over finite fields, *J. Number Theory* 74(1999), 181-229.
- [8] Hanfeng Li and Hui June Zhu, Zeta functions of totally ramified p -covers of the projective line, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova*, Vol. 113(2005), 203-225.
- [9] C.Liu, The L-functions of twisted Witt coverings, *J. Number Theory*, 125(2007), 267-284.
- [10] C.Liu, T -adic exponential sums under base change, arXiv:0909.1111.
- [11] C. Liu and D. Wan, T -adic exponential sums, *Algebra & Number Theory*, Vol. 3, No. 5 (2009), 489-509.
- [12] C. Liu and D. Wei, The L -functions of Witt coverings, *Math. Z.*, 255 (2007), 95-115.
- [13] C. Liu, Wenxin Liu and Chuanze Niu, T -adic exponential sums in one variable, arXiv:0901.0354v5.
- [14] J-P. Serre, Endomorphismes complètement continus des espaces de Banach p -adiques, *Publ. Math., IHES.*, 12(1962), 69-85.
- [15] D. Wan, Variation of p -adic Newton polygons for L-functions of exponential sums, *Asian J. Math.*, Vol 8, 3(2004), 427-474.
- [16] J. H. Zhu, p -adic variation of L functions of one variable exponential sums, I. *Amer. J. Math.*, 125 (2003), 669-690.
- [17] J. H. Zhu, Asymptotic variation of L functions of one-variable exponential sums, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 572 (2004), 219-233.
- [18] J. H. Zhu, L-functions of exponential sums over one-dimensional affinoids : Newton over Hodge, *Inter. Math. Research Notices*, no 30 (2004), 1529-1550.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHANGHAI JIAO TONG UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 200240, P.R. CHINA, E-MAIL: CLLIU@SJTU.EDU.CN

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, BEIJING NORMAL UNIVERSITY, BEIJING 100875, P.R. CHINA, E-MAIL: NIUCHUANZE@MAIL.BNU.EDU.CN